#### CMSC 424 – Database design Lecture 3: Entity-Relationship Model

#### Book: Chap. 1 and 6

#### Mihai Pop

#### **Database Design Steps**



# Problems in our First Design

- does not capture the fact that a library carries books of a specific author
- does not capture the fact that a library carries a specific book
- does not capture the fact that an author has written a specific book
- does not store which edition of the book the library has, how many copies, etc.



#### 2<sup>nd</sup> Attempt to the Library Design



Much better

# Next: Types of Attributes

- Simple vs Composite
  - Single value per attribute ?
- Single-valued vs Multi-valued
  - E.g. Phone numbers are multi-valued
- Derived
  - If date-of-birth is present, age can be derived
  - Can help in avoiding redundancy, enforcing constraints etc...

#### Types of Attributes





#### **Types of Attributes**



#### **Composite Attribute**

#### Next: Keys

 Key = set of attributes that uniquely identifies an entity or a relationship

# Entity Keys



- {*cust-id*} is a natural primary key
- Typically, SSN forms a good primary key
- Try to use a candidate key that rarely changes
  - e.g. something involving address not a great idea

# Entity Keys

- Superkey
  - any set of attributes that can distinguish entities
- Candidate key
  - a minimal superkey
    - Can't remove any attribute and preserve key-ness
      - {cust-id, age} not a candidate key
      - {cust-name, cust-city, cust-street} is
        - assuming cust-name is not unique
- Primary key
  - Candidate key chosen as <u>the</u> key by DBA
  - <u>Underlined</u> in the ER Diagram

# Entity Keys



- {*cust-id*} is a natural primary key
- Typically, SSN forms a good primary key
- Try to use a candidate key that rarely changes
  - e.g. something involving address not a great idea

- What attributes are needed to represent a relationship completely and uniquely ?
  - Union of primary keys of the entities involved, and relationship attributes



*{cust-id, access-date, account number}* describes a relationship completely

- Is {*cust-id*, *access-date*, *account number*} a candidate key ?
  - No. Attribute *access-date* can be removed from this set without losing key-ness
  - In fact, union of primary keys of associated entities is always a superkey



• Is {cust-id, account-number} a candidate key ?

- Depends



- Is {cust-id, account-number} a candidate key ?
  - Depends



- If one-to-one relationship, either *{cust-id}* or *{account-number}* sufficient
  - Since a given *customer* can only have one *account*, she can only participate in one relationship
  - Ditto account

- Is {cust-id, account-number} a candidate key ?
  - Depends



- If one-to-many relationship (as shown), {account-number} is a candidate key
  - A given customer can have many accounts, but at most one account holder per account allowed

- General rule for binary relationships
  - one-to-one: primary key of either entity set
  - one-to-many: primary key of the entity set on the many side
  - many-to-many: union of primary keys of the associate entity sets
- n-ary relationships
  - More complicated rules

- What have we been doing
- Why?
- Understanding this is important
  - Rest are details !!
  - That's what books/manuals are for.

- - -

#### Next: Recursive Relationships

Sometimes a relationship associates an entity set to itself



# Next: Weak Entity Sets

- An entity set without enough attributes to have a primary key
- E.g. Transaction Entity
  - Attributes:
    - transaction-number, transaction-date, transaction-amount, transaction-type
    - transaction-number: may not be unique across accounts

#### Weak Entity Sets

- A weak entity set must be associated with an identifying or owner entity set
- Account is the owner entity set for Transaction

#### Weak Entity Sets Still need to be able to distinguish between different weak entities associated with the same strong entity



#### Weak Entity Sets

Discriminator: A set of attributes that can be used for that



# Weak Entity Sets

+

- Primary key:
  - Primary key of the associated strong entity discriminator attribute set
  - For Transaction:
    - {account-number, transaction-number}

# Example Design

- We will model a university database
  - Main entities:
    - Professor
    - Projects
    - Departments
    - Graduate students
    - etc...











### Thoughts...

- Nothing about actual data
  - How is it stored ?
- No talk about the query languages
  - How do we access the data ?
- Semantic vs Syntactic Data Models
  - Remember: E/R Model is used for conceptual modeling
  - Many conceptual models have the same properties
- They are much more about representing the knowledge than about database storage/querying

# Thoughts...

- Basic design principles
  - Faithful
    - Must make sense
  - Satisfies the application requirements
  - Models the requisite domain knowledge
    - If not modeled, lost afterwards
  - Avoid redundancy
    - Potential for inconsistencies
  - Go for simplicity
- Typically an iterative process that goes back and forth

# **Design Issues**

- Entity sets vs attributes
  - Depends on the semantics of the application
  - Consider *telephone* (entity: customer, attribute: telephone)
    or (entity: telephone, entity: customer, relationship: customer\_phone)
- Entity sets vs Relationship sets
  - Consider *loan* (entity or relationship between customer and bank?)
- N-ary vs binary relationships
  - Possible to avoid n-ary relationships, but there are some cases where it is advantageous to use them
- It is not an exact science !!